End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Superintendent:	John Bucley							
	Alison Taylor							
Evaluator:	-	Name		Signature		Da	te	
Step 1: Assess Progres	ss Toward Goals	s (Complete page	3 first; check one f	or each set of goal[s].)				
Professional Practic	e Goal(s)	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Me	et [Exce	eded
Student Learning G	oal(s)	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Me	et	Exce	eded
District Improvemen	nt Goal(s)	Did Not Meet	✓ Some Progress	Significant Progress	Me	et [Exce	eded
Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (Complete pages 4–7 first; then check one box for each Standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.							Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructi	ional Leadership						V	
Standard II: Management and Operations						~		
Standard III: Family	and Community En	gagement	r Stop Tand Stan 2	uniter, entert ente.		V		
Standard IV: Profes	ssional Culture	announce unh	arroghur in	ngang.		V		

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative	Performance (Based on Step 1 a	nd Step 2 ratings; check one.)	
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary

Superintendent's Performance Goals



Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership.		Not Meet	me ogress	gnificant	it	pepead	
Goals	Focus Indicator(s)	Description	Did	So	Sig	Me	Ш
Student Learning Goal	I-B-3 I-D-2 I-D-4 II-C-2 IV-D-2			V			

There has been significant time spent on and effort put into professional development over the past year. This is absolute key in helping our district get to the next level. Dr. Buckey demonstrates a deep commitment to his own continuous learning as well. It's wonderful to hear that these were integrated into the practices of the lead teachers K-12.

I would have liked to see details around how these learnings were implemented in the district. In particular, it would be great to understand how these implementations directly improved our students learning.

Caja has been a phenomenal addition to our METCO program. It's refreshing to read all of the ways that Dr. Buckey is supporting our METCO program. It would be great to understand detail around how he feels the program could be expanded. Keeping communication open with the students in the program is key to continued success. It would be great to read any details around that feedback (anonymously of course) to better understand how we can help to support the program further.

I would have liked to see the read-out on how the term "inclusion" is mis-used/misunderstood. Perhaps there could have been follow-up communications, district wide on what MPS' definition of inclusion means and how we incorporate it into every classroom. In addition, hearing/learning more about how those classrooms can/will be better supported would be an important factor as well.

Superint	Standards and Indicators for Effective endents should identify 1-2 focus Indicat	e Administrative Leadership fors per Standard aligned to their goals.	
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum I-B. Instruction I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management	III-B. Sharing Responsibility III-C. Communication	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards IV-B. Cultural Proficiency IV-C. Communications IV-D. Continuous Learning IV-E. Shared Vision IV-F. Managing Conflict

Superintendent's Performance Goals - Continued



Superintendent Advisory lunches are great. It's important that the students have the opportunity to spend time with and speak to Dr. Buckey. While I do not doubt they were successful, we need to see the details around why. Meaning - what are the measurable ways to show that these lunches were successful? It would also be great to understand what was impacting them and their experience the outcomes of this feedback?

Superintendent's Performance Goals



Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership.			ot Meet	ess	icant		ded
Goals	Focus Indicator(s)	Description	Did N	ome	ignif	<u>e</u> t	хсее
	I-E-1 I-E-2 II-B-2 IV-D-2				✓	2	Ш

As noted previously, Dr. Buckey displays his deep commitment to his own continuous learning in his participation in NSIP and the multitude of Superintendent conferences that he attends. This is critical for his continued development and improvement. What were the key takeaways? How were the learnings brought back to the different departments, from facilities to transportation, and also budget development?

Over the past year I have seen growth in Dr. Buckey with regards to his leadership and being more confident in his own voice. It would have been great to see him utilize the skills he notes that he has learned in his weekly superintendent reports to the district. Rather than paying a communications consultant, it's important that our district know that the word choices and tone are Dr. Buckey's own. At this point, that should no longer be necessary.

It has been wonderful watching our school leaders represent and present initiatives, requests, and budgets for each of their schools. It is so important to hear directly from those leaders and I hope to see even more of that in the coming years. Continued work on building those relationships to develop trust will be paramount.

Implementing a sick leave bank will be a huge success for the district and I'm hugely supportive of this initiative.

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.									
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture						
I-A. Curriculum I-B. Instruction I-C. Assessment I-D. Evaluation I-E. Data-Informed Decision-making I-F. Student Learning	II-A. Environment II-B. HR Management and Development II-C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems II-D. Laws, Ethics, and Policies II-E. Fiscal Systems	III-B. Sharing Responsibility III-C. Communication III-D. Family Concerns	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards IV-B. Cultural Proficiency IV-C. Communications IV-D. Continuous Learning IV-E. Shared Vision IV-F. Managing Conflict						

Superintendent's Performance Goals - District Improvement Goal 1

Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership.			Not Meet	ne gress	nificant gress		pepee
Goals	Focus Indicator(s)	Description	Did	Sor	Sig	Met	Exc
District Improvement Goal 1	-E-2 -A-1 -A-2 -C-1 -C-2				~		

It is great to hear that Dr. Buckey has been so integrated to each of our schools this year with his visits. I know that it's always a big deal for a child to see their Superintendent come into their classroom once, nevermind multiple times. It is important that our top administrator continue to be seen in our classrooms and as part of each of our schools within the district.

I would like to have seen examples of how we measured the impact of these visits through our iReady data. That would help to understand better whether or not the visits added value and that goals have been met.

There is work to be done in getting more families in our district to feel as though their voices are heard and considered. We need to move away from, "it's in my perview," as the response to questions/concerns. This was the response provided when asked why there would be no coffee with the candidates for our two open leadership positions this year as we have done historically.

Professional development, as noted above, is so very important for everyone in our district. Ensuring that we are successfully reaching all levels of students is paramount. I'm struggling to see how we do that with a rating system that does not include any type of "above grade level" rating. Even this review form includes exemplary/exceeded as an option for all goals.

Time on learning is a big issue in our district. Removing morning recess has done a giant disservice to our children. We need to revisit that for the upcoming school year. I fully support the need to for proper time on learning. The Administrations decision to not include - walking between classes, changing into and out of snow clothing, waiting patiently, etc. in time on learning should be revisited. There is no reason, by-law, or statute that notes that this cannot be considered time on learning.

Superin	Standards and Indicators for Effective tendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators	ve Administrative Leadership ators per Standard aligned to their goals.	
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum I-B. Instruction I-C. Assessment I-D. Evaluation I-E. Data-Informed Decision-making I-F. Student Learning	II-A. Environment II-B. HR Management and Development II-C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems II-D. Laws, Ethics, and Policies II-E. Fiscal Systems	III-A. Engagement III-B. Sharing Responsibility III-C. Communication III-D. Family Concerns	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards IV-B. Cultural Proficiency IV-C. Communications IV-D. Continuous Learning IV-E. Shared Vision IV-F. Managing Conflict

Superintendent's Performance Goals - District Improvement Goal 2

Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership.		Not Meet	ne gress	gnificant		pepee	
Goals	Focus Indicator(s)	Description	Did	Some	Sign	Met	Ехс
District Improvement Goal 2	II-B-1 III-A-1 III-C-2 IV-B-1				V		

There is no doubt that we have provided a tremendous amount of additional information and transparency into our budget this year. Sure there is room for additional improvement - and I have no doubt we will get there. However, it's also important to identify and acknowledge the growth. As our leader, Dr. Buckey should be commended for that. We worked tirelessly with the Finance Committee as well. It's important that when we initiate a Thought Exchange survey as we did for the budget, that we do listen to the results. I don't feel that was the case this year. Items were still included in the aspirational budget that were clearly not the priorities of the community based on the survey results. In order to facilitate more trust, we need to be sure we are taking those things into consideration, regardless of what our personal beliefs may be in those instances.

Being present at events is important. Dr. Buckey puts in a significant amount of effort to attend and participate in a multitude of events throughout the year. It would be great to incorporate some measurement into attendance of the informal gatherings. Are new faces, families showing up each time for the discussions and coffee talks? There is a difference between meeting with the same individuals versus capturing a wider net.

We don't have a window into the recruitment or hiring strategies within the district so it's hard to measure growth/success there. It was disappointing to hear that offers were made for both the Assistant Superintendent as well as the new High School Principal without allowing for feedback or the coffee talks that historically had happened. I understand that these can sometimes be fast moving processes or need to be for other reasons. However, we cannot boast about our inclusion of the community and the importance of community feedback while not including the district. Having one or two parents on the selection committee is not the same opportunity as hosting a coffee for parents to attend with each of the final candidates or even the one final candidate. We have to follow through with our commitment to incorporating the community.

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.									
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture						
I-A. Curriculum I-B. Instruction I-C. Assessment I-D. Evaluation I-E. Data-Informed Decision-making I-F. Student Learning	II-A. Environment II-B. HR Management and Development II-C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems II-D. Laws, Ethics, and Policies II-E. Fiscal Systems	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards IV-B. Cultural Proficiency IV-C. Communications IV-D. Continuous Learning IV-E. Shared Vision IV-F. Managing Conflict						



Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

	each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to intendent goal(s).)	U	NI	P	E				
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measureable outcomes. Focus Indicator (check if yes)			~					
I-B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		~						
I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. Focus Indicator (check if yes)								
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. Focus Indicator (check if yes)								
I-E.	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		✓						
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growtn,	of practice. Evide measures of stud	nce of impact on st ent learning, growt	not have correspondent learning base by, and achievement formance rating for	ed on multiple must be taken				
OVE The	RALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.		•						
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): Without having the details around evaluation due dates and subsequent date they were held, it seems impossible to be able to know and address whether these items happen in a timely fashion. There is room to grow when we talk about making data informed decisions. Gathering the data is one thing - putting it to use properly									
15 41	is another.								





Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E				
II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. Focus Indicator (check if yes)			~					
II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. Focus Indicator (check if yes)								
II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		V						
II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. Focus Indicator (check if yes)			~					
II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		v						
OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.								
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unit's hard to evaluate portions of this as we do not get any detail on how those things are done such as recommended to evaluate portions of this as we do not get any detail on how those things are done such as recommended to evaluate portions of this as we do not get any detail on how those things are done such as recommended for any overall rating;	nsatisfacto cruiting.	ory):						
As noted above, we need to do a better job of using the data provided to us through Thought Exchange.								
n regards to time on learning, we also need to dig deeper when it comes to our elementary schools. We are doing a grave disservice by not having a morning recess. The Administrations decision to not include - walking between classes, changing into and out of snow clothing, waiting patiently, etc. in time on learning should be revisited.								
comments and ansiysis communed.			P PD					

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	P	E		
III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. Focus Indicator (check if yes)			V			
III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		~				
III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		~				
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. Focus Indicator (check if yes)			~			
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.		~				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): I think there is real improvement that we can make in this area.						
In regards to communication, as noted abvoe, we need to see more direct communication from Dr. Buckey in his own words and tone. Only then can we properly evaluate whether it is proficient and or culturally appropriate.						

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture



U	NI	Р	E			
		V				
		~				
	V					
		~				
		~				
	~					
		~				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>): While I'm giving a proficient average here, in my opinion the two most critical factors still require improvement. At this point we should no longer be needing a communications consultant. The contract is monthly and should have been cancelled immediately in January when we realized we needed more money. The district need to hear more directly from Dr. Buckey in his words, with his tone, so that we can properly evaluate his communication style.						
	satisfacto ment.	satisfactory): ment.	atisfactory): ment.			

Professional Culture - Comments and analysis Continued.



I feel that district wide there needs to be more done to foster a trusting, two-way relationship with the Superintendent. A relationship where everyone hears the same thing and that the message or tone doesn't change based on the participants. Every individual, even those with dissenting views, should feel comfortable participating in the conversation. This should happen with zero regard or fear of retribution or retaliation.